

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Masaki Okayasu 12/28/2000 Q62316 8681 09/749,677 **EXAMINER** 07/12/2004 7590 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC CHEN, TIANJIE 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington, DC 20037 2652

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

				/ (
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/749,677	OKAYASU ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tianjie Chen	2652		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre)ss	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Natute, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on (04 May 2004 .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims			nerits is	
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,13-15 and 19</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) <u>8,11,12,16-18 and 20</u> is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an				
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) $igtie$ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.		
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •			
12) 🗌 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No		
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ige	
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).	
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Attachmen		-			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) D Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1		

Non-Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milke (US 6,111,827) in view of Park (US 5,995,478).

With regard to claim 13, Miike shows an apparatus in Figs. 4 and 6, including: a movable member 13 that is supported by on the shaft 18; and a hybrid optical module 1 having a light emitting 38 and receiving device 32 mounted on the movable member, wherein the hybrid optical module is moved along the first shaft and the second shaft by the moveable member to perform a tracking operation on an optical medium, wherein a portion of the hybrid optical module, which is closer to the first shaft 18, is cut out in substantially parallel with the first shaft, and wherein the portion of the hybrid optical module is cut by an angle A that is substantially equal to an incident angle B of an optical path with respect to a tracking direction of the optical medium (See drawing in next page).

Miike does not show that the movable member is supported by at least two shafts.

Park shows an optical module supporting mechanism in Figs. 2 and 3, wherein the movable member supported by two shaft through the corresponding holes on the

Art Unit: 2652

movable body, and the is tilt and horizontal position can be adjusted (Column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 3).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the moving body in Miike's device by the mechanism taught by Park. The rationale is as follows: Miike's teaches the importance of the relative position and orientation of the optical pickup to the disk (Abstract). Miike's invention provides the mechanism for adjusting the lens in pickup, but fails to teach to adjust the tilt and horizontal position as it moves along guide shafts. Park teaches a method of adjust the tilt and horizontal position as the pickup moving along the support shaft. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to replace the movable body in Miike's device with the one taught by Park having two holes with two support shaft going through and to be adjusted by the mechanism 24a-c and 28 in order to have the function of adjusting the tilt and horizontal position as it moves.

With regard to claim 14, Milke further shows that the hybrid optical module integrates optical components, and wherein the optical components 28-32 and 38 are formed on a substrate (the bottom of the cavity in Fig. 6).

With regard to claim 15, Miike further shows that the substrate and the optical components are housed in a package.

With regard to claim 19, Miike further shows that the hybrid optical module is pentagon-shaped.

2. Claims 1-7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miike (US 6,111,827) in view Park and of Ohtsuka et al (US 5,297,127).

Art Unit: 2652

With regard to claim 1, Miike shows a mounting structure of a hybrid optical module in Figs. 4 and 6 including: a movable member 1 that is supported by shaft 18, a recording medium drive apparatus shown in Fig 4 including a hybrid optical module having a light emitting 38 (Fig. 4; column 13, line 14) and receiving device 32 (Fig. 4; column 14, line 31) mounted on the movable member, the hybrid optical module 1 being slid on the shafts 18 and 19 so as to perform a tracking operation on an optical recording medium (moving radially, column 6, lines 4-6), wherein a portion P of the hybrid optical module which is closer to one of the shafts 18 when the hybrid optical module is mounted on the movable member is cut out in substantially parallel with the shaft, and by an angle A which is substantially equal to an incident angle B of an optical path with respect to a tracking direction of the optical recording medium.

Milke does not show the movable member is supported by at least two shafts. However, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the movable body by the one taught by Park for the reason described above.

Miike does not show a driving coil attached to the movable member.

Ohtsuka et al shows an optical device, wherein a driving coil 13 is attached to the movable member 1 (Fig. 1,column 2, lines 26-31).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the coil taught by Ohtsuka et al into Miike's device. The rationale is as follows: Miike has mentioned that the movable member is moved by a sled motor (column 6, lines 8-10), but was not illustrated. Ohtsuka et al shows a moving mechanism, which is moved by a sled motor having a coil attached on the movable member. And use a coil for moving the movable member in an optical

Art Unit: 2652

tracking system is well known and notorious in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add the coil taught by Ohtsuka et al into Miike's device, thus being able to move the movable member.

With regard to claim 2, Miike further shows that a spindle motor 17 (Fig. 4, column 6, line 12) is placed to be closer to the shaft 19 in a direction of light incidence of an optical system including the hybrid optical module, and the hybrid optical module having a cut portion P which is closer to another shaft 18 is mounted with a pick-up unit shown in Fig. 7.

With regard to claim 3, Milke further shows that the angle A by which the portion of the hybrid optical module is cut out is in a range of 30° to 45° substantially.

With regard to claim 4, Miike and Ohtsuka et al shows a recording medium drive apparatus as described above including: a movable member that is supported by at least two shafts, and a polygonal hybrid optical module having a light emitting and receiving device which is mounted on the movable member, and a driving coil attached to the movable member, the hybrid optical module being slid on the shafts so as to perform a tracking operation on a recording medium, wherein a portion of the hybrid optical module which is closer to one of the shafts is cut out in substantially parallel with the shafts, and by an angle which is substantially equal to an incident angle of an optical path in a tracking direction of the recording medium.

With regard to claim 5, Miike further shows that the hybrid optical module 1 integrates optical components.

With regard to claim 6, Miike further shows that the optical components are formed on a substrate (the bottom of the cavity in Fig. 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,677 Page 6

Art Unit: 2652

With regard to claim 7, Miike further shows the substrate and the optical components are housed in a package.

With regard to claim 9, Milke further shows that the hybrid optical module includes: a substrate (the bottom of the cavity in Fig. 6); a photo detector 32 formed on the substrate; a prism 34 formed on the substrate; and a laser diode 38 formed on the substrate.

Miike does not specifically mentioned photo diode.

Official Notice is taken: it is well known in the art that photo diode is commonly used as photodetector.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to find in Miike's device photo diode could be included. The rationale is as follows: Applicant does not specifically disclose the photo diode and photodetector. Miike's device includes photodetector, which could be a photo diode. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected that the photodetector used in Miike's device could include photo diode.

With regard to claim 10, in above mentioned device, the substrate, the photo detector, the prism, the photo diode, and the laser diode are housed in a package.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 8, 11, 12, 16-18, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/749,677

Art Unit: 2652

• With regard to claims 8, 11, 12, and 16; as the closest reference, Miike (US 6,111,827) shows a mounting structure including a hybrid optical module and two shafts, wherein the optical elements are housed in a package; but fails to show an area of the package, as viewed along a plane containing at least the two shafts, is smaller than an area of the moveable member, as viewed along the plane.

- With regard to claim 17, as the closest reference, Miike (US 6,111,827) shows a mounting structure including a hybrid optical module and two shafts, a spindle on which the optical medium rotates; and an objective lens for directing light towards the optical medium, but fails to show that the hybrid optical module is disposed completely between the first shaft and a plane containing an optical axis of the objective lens and a rotational axis of the spindle.
- Applicant asserts that by using above structure, the interference with the shaft
 can be prevented from occurring, and an unnecessary space can be reduced
 (Specification, p. 5, lines 3-5).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7499. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8